

GENERATING ABELIAN GROUPS BY ADDITION ONLY

BENJAMIN KLOPSCH AND VSEVOLOD F. LEV

ABSTRACT. We define the *positive diameter* of a finite group G with respect to a generating set $A \subseteq G$ to be the smallest non-negative integer n such that every element of G can be written as a product of at most n elements of A . This invariant, which we denote by $\text{diam}_A^+(G)$, can be interpreted as the diameter of the Cayley digraph induced by A on G .

In this paper we study the positive diameters of a finite *abelian* group G with respect to its various generating sets A . More specifically, we determine the maximum possible value of $\text{diam}_A^+(G)$ and classify all generating sets for which this maximum value is attained. Also, we determine the maximum possible cardinality of A subject to the condition that $\text{diam}_A^+(G)$ is “not too small”.

Conceptually, the problems studied are closely related to our earlier work [7] and the results obtained shed a new light on the subject. Our original motivation came from connections with caps, sum-free sets, and quasi-perfect codes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group and let A be a subset of G . Recall, that the *subgroup generated by A in G* is the smallest subgroup of G , containing A ; in other words, it is the intersection of all subgroups containing A :

$$\langle A \rangle := \bigcap \{H \leq G : A \subseteq H\}.$$

If $\langle A \rangle = G$, then A is called a *generating set for G* . Loosely speaking, the aim of this paper is to determine whether and how quickly A generates G , using only information about the cardinality of A .

Since eventually we restrict to the situation where G is abelian, we use additive notation throughout. Let $A_0 := A \cup \{0\}$, and for every non-negative integer ρ define

$$\langle A \rangle_\rho^+ := \rho A_0 = \{a_1 + \cdots + a_\rho : a_i \in A_0\} \subseteq G,$$

the set of all $g \in G$ representable as a sum of *at most* ρ elements of A . Then $\langle A_0 \rangle_\rho^+ = \langle A \rangle_\rho^+$ for all $\rho \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and, evidently, these sets form an ascending chain

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 20K01; secondary: 20F05.

An essential part of our work was carried out while the first named author paid a one-week visit to the University of Haifa in May 2006. He is grateful for the hospitality experienced during his visit, and he acknowledges the financial support provided by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

$\{0\} = \langle A \rangle_0^+ \subseteq \langle A \rangle_1^+ \subseteq \dots$ which stabilizes after finitely many steps in the group $\langle A \rangle = \bigcup_{\rho \geq 0} \langle A \rangle_\rho^+$.

For every $g \in G$ we define the (*positive*) *length of g with respect to A* as

$$l_A^+(g) := \min\{\rho \in \mathbb{N}_0 : g \in \langle A \rangle_\rho^+\}.$$

Here we agree that $\min \emptyset = \infty$, so that for $g \in G$ we have $g \in \langle A \rangle$ if and only if $l_A^+(g) < \infty$. Observe that $l_A^+(g)$ is the minimum number of elements of A required to represent g as their sum. Thus, for every $\rho \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$\langle A \rangle_\rho^+ = \{g \in G : l_A^+(g) \leq \rho\}.$$

We define the (*positive*) *diameter of G with respect to A* as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}_A^+(G) &:= \min\{\rho \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \langle A \rangle_\rho^+ = G\} \\ &= \max\{l_A^+(g) : g \in G\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the notion of a diameter allows a simple graph-theoretic interpretation. Indeed, for any $x, y \in G$, the distance from x to y in the Cayley digraph induced by A on G is given by $l_A^+(-x+y)$, and thus $\text{diam}_A^+(G)$ is the diameter of this digraph. In this sense, $\text{diam}_A^+(G)$ gives a quantitative measure for the “number of steps” needed to generate G from A . In particular, A is a generating set for G if and only if $\text{diam}_A^+(G) < \infty$.

The length of a given element and the diameter of G depend upon the choice of $A \subseteq G$. In contrast, the *absolute (positive) diameter*

$$\text{diam}^+(G) := \max\{\text{diam}_A^+(G) : \langle A \rangle = G\}$$

is an invariant of G itself; every generating set produces the group in at most that many steps.

The two fundamental problems addressed in this paper are:

- P1.** What is the value of $\text{diam}^+(G)$ and what are the generating sets $A \subseteq G$ such that $\text{diam}_A^+(G) = \text{diam}^+(G)$?
- P2.** How large can $\text{diam}_A^+(G)$ be, given that $|A|$ is large?

Under the assumption that G is *abelian*, we solve the first problem completely and we provide a partial answer to the second one. Our main results are collected in Section 2; the proofs are presented in Sections 3 to 7.

We remark that different notions of length and diameter result from considering all “algebraic sums” $\pm a_1 \pm \dots \pm a_n$, $a_i \in A$, at each step of generating the group G from its subset A . This approach, leading to a significantly different theory, was pursued in [7]. In the important “classical” case, where G is of exponent 2, the two approaches are

identical; connections with caps, sum-free sets, and quasi-perfect codes for this special case are discussed in [7, Section 3].

In fact, the problem of finding generating subsets A of a given finite group G such that both $|A|$ and $\text{diam}_A^+(G)$ are small has attracted much attention. Traditionally, most authors restrict their study to symmetric generating sets, i.e. generating sets which are closed under taking inverses. Asymptotic estimates of the diameters of non-abelian simple groups with respect to various types of (symmetric) generating sets can be found in the survey [2], which also lists related work, e.g. on the diameters of permutation groups. Investigations related to the diameters of abelian (more generally nilpotent) groups and driven by the search for efficient communication networks can be found, for instance, in [1] and [6].

As far as we know, this paper and its precursor [7] form the first steps towards a systematic study of the “worst” case, determining in particular the largest possible value of $\text{diam}_A^+(G)$ for all, respectively all symmetric, generating subsets $A \subseteq G$. Moreover, the present paper is one of the very few, concentrating on asymmetric generating sets — which, we believe, can be of interest in the theory of network design.

2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Throughout this section let G denote a finite abelian group.

2.1. The Absolute Diameter. Up to isomorphism, every finite abelian group is characterized by its type. Suppose that G has type $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$; that is, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_r}$ where $1 \neq m_1 \mid \dots \mid m_r$. The number r is called the *rank* of G and denoted $\text{rk}(G)$. A *standard generating set* for G is an ordered subset $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subseteq G$ such that $G = \langle a_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle a_r \rangle$ and $\text{ord}(a_i) = m_i$ for all $i \in [1, r]$.

If A is a standard generating set for G , then plainly $\text{diam}_A^+(G) = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1)$. Perhaps not surprisingly, this is as large as possible: specifically, we have

Theorem 2.1. *A finite abelian group G of type $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ has diameter*

$$\text{diam}^+(G) = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1).$$

Conversely, if A is a generating set for G with $\text{diam}_A^+(G) = \text{diam}^+(G)$, then A is “nearly standard”.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group of rank r . Then for every subset $A \subseteq G$ the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{diam}_A^+(G) = \text{diam}^+(G)$;

- (ii) *There exists a standard generating set $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ for G with the following property: one can write $A_0 = \{0, a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ so that for each $i \in [1, r]$, either $a_i = e_i$, or $a_i = e_i + a_{\sigma(i)}$ with $\sigma(i) \in [i+1, r]$.*

Moreover, if G has type $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and if A is as in (ii), then every element $g \in G$ can be uniquely written as $g = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i a_i$ with $\lambda_i \in [0, m_i - 1]$ for all $i \in [1, r]$, and then $l_A^+(g) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$.

The assertion (ii) above describes a general procedure which allows one to construct any set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ with $\text{diam}_A^+(G) = \text{diam}^+(G)$ from a standard generating set $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$, starting from $a_r = e_r$ and working the way down to a_1 .

2.2. The Maximum Size of Large Diameter Sets. For abelian groups, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 provide a complete solution of Problem P1. Now we address Problem P2; that is, we want to determine to what extent the size of a generating set guarantees fast generation.

Our aim is to find, for $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$, an upper bound for the sizes of generating sets A for G with $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$. Agreeing that $\max \emptyset = 0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) &:= \max\{|A| : A \subseteq G \text{ such that } \rho \leq \text{diam}_A^+(G) < \infty\} \\ &= \max\{|A| : A \subseteq G \text{ such that } \langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ \neq \langle A \rangle = G\}. \end{aligned}$$

The significance of this invariant stems from the observation that every generating set A for G of size, larger than $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$, surely generates G in less than ρ steps. It is immediate that

$$\mathbf{s}_1^+(G) \geq \mathbf{s}_2^+(G) \geq \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) = 0 \text{ for } \rho > \text{diam}^+(G).$$

In order to better understand $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$ we introduce a related and perhaps more fundamental invariant, $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$; this requires a short preparation. We say that a subset $A \subseteq G$ is ρ -maximal if it is maximal (under inclusion) subject to $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$; that is, subject to $\langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ \neq G$. Plainly, we have

$$\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) = \max\{|A| : A \text{ is a } \rho\text{-maximal generating set for } G\}.$$

Recall that the *period* of a subset $S \subseteq G$ is the subgroup $\pi(S) := \{g \in G : S + g = S\} \leq G$, and S is *periodic* or *aperiodic* according to whether $\pi(S) \neq \{0\}$ or $\pi(S) = \{0\}$.

It is not difficult to see that ρ -maximal generating sets for a group G can be constructed by a “lifting process” from aperiodic ρ -maximal generating sets for the quotient

groups of G .¹ This shows that every ρ -maximal generating set is induced by an aperiodic one, and a natural point of view, therefore, is to consider aperiodic ρ -maximal generating sets as “primitive” and concentrate on their properties first. Accordingly, we let

$$\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G) := \max\{|A| : A \text{ is an aperiodic } \rho\text{-maximal generating set for } G\}.$$

A slightly simpler description of $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ can be provided. Suppose that $A \subseteq G$ is aperiodic and ρ -maximal, but $\langle A \rangle \neq G$. Then, being ρ -maximal, A coincides with a maximal subgroup of G . Since A is aperiodic, we have $A = \{0\}$, and G is cyclic of prime order. Thus any non-empty subset $B \subseteq G$, other than $\{0\}$, satisfies $\langle B \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ = G$. This implies $\text{diam}^+(G) < \rho$, and consequently $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G) = \begin{cases} \max\{|A| : A \subseteq G \text{ is aperiodic and } \rho\text{-maximal}\} & \text{if } \rho \in [1, \text{diam}^+(G)], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The close connection between $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ and $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$ is described by

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite abelian group and let $\rho \geq 2$. Then*

$$\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) = \max\{|H| \cdot \mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G/H) : H \subsetneq G\}.$$

2.2.1. *Explicit Formulae for $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ and $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$.* Suppose that $\rho \in [1, \text{diam}^+(G)]$. We determine $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ and $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$ explicitly in the following cases:

- (i) G is an arbitrary finite abelian group and $\rho \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, \text{diam}^+(G)\}$, with some exceptions regarding $\mathbf{t}_4^+(G)$;
- (ii) G is a finite cyclic group and $\rho \in [1, \text{diam}^+(G)]$ is arbitrary.

The case of G arbitrary and $\rho \in \{1, 2, 3, \text{diam}^+(G)\}$ is settled by

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a finite abelian group. We have*

- (i) $\mathbf{t}_1^+(G) = 0$ and $\mathbf{s}_1^+(G) = |G|$, provided that $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 1$;
- (ii) $\mathbf{t}_2^+(G) = \mathbf{s}_2^+(G) = |G| - 1$, provided that $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 2$;
- (iii) $\mathbf{t}_3^+(G) = \mathbf{s}_3^+(G) = \lfloor |G|/2 \rfloor$, provided that $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 3$;
- (iv) if $\rho = \text{diam}^+(G) \geq 2$, then $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G) = \mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) = \text{rk}(G) + 1$.

¹Suppose that $H \subsetneq G$, and let $\varphi: G \rightarrow G/H$ denote the canonical homomorphism. If $\overline{A}$ is a generating set for G/H with $0 \in \overline{A}$, then the full pre-image $A := \varphi^{-1}(\overline{A})$ is a generating set for G with $\text{diam}_A^+(G) = \text{diam}_{\overline{A}}^+(G/H)$ and $H \leq \pi(A)$. Conversely, suppose that A is a generating set for G with $0 \in A$ and $H \leq \pi(A)$. Then the image $\overline{A} = \varphi(A)$ is a generating set for G/H and $\text{diam}_{\overline{A}}^+(G/H) = \text{diam}_A^+(G)$. Furthermore, if A is ρ -maximal then so is $\overline{A}$, and if $H = \pi(A)$ then $\overline{A}$ is aperiodic.

Recall that by Theorem 2.1, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the diameter of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_m$ of order m is $\text{diam}^+(\mathbb{Z}_m) = m - 1$. The precise values of $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ and $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ are established by

Theorem 2.5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho \in [2, m - 1]$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_\rho^+(\mathbb{Z}_m) &= \left\lfloor \frac{m-2}{\rho-1} \right\rfloor + 1, \\ \mathbf{s}_\rho^+(\mathbb{Z}_m) &= \max \left\{ \frac{m}{d} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{d-2}{\rho-1} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) : d \mid m, d \geq \rho + 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.6. *Let p be a prime and let $\rho \in [2, p - 1]$. Then*

$$\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbf{s}_\rho^+(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p-2}{\rho-1} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

The case of G arbitrary and $\rho = 4$ remains partially open and deserves further comments. A remarkable result of Davydov and Tombak [4] motivated by coding theory and finite geometry applications (see [3, 5] and also [10] for some extensions) shows that

$$\mathbf{t}_4^+(\mathbb{Z}_2^r) = 2^{r-2} + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{s}_4^+(\mathbb{Z}_2^r) = 5 \cdot 2^{r-4}; \quad r \geq 4. \quad (2.1)$$

We notice that the first formula is considerably subtler than the second. Indeed, applying Lemma 2.3 one can easily compute $\mathbf{s}_4^+(\mathbb{Z}_2^s)$ from the values $\mathbf{t}_4^+(\mathbb{Z}_2^s)$, $s \leq r$.

We obtain similarly explicit formulae for abelian groups, which are not of exponent 2, except that we have been unable to determine the exact value of $\mathbf{t}_4^+(G)$ for some particularly tough groups G . To state our results we introduce the new invariant

$$\eta(G) := \max\{|H| : H \leq G \text{ with } \exp(G/H) \neq 2 \text{ and } |G/H| \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\} \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Theorem 2.7. *Let G be a finite abelian group with $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 4$.*

(i) *We have*

$$\mathbf{t}_4^+(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}|G| & \text{if } 3 \text{ divides } |G|, \\ \frac{1}{3}(|G| - 1) & \text{if every divisor of } |G| \text{ is congruent to } 1 \text{ modulo } 3. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If G is not of exponent 2, then*

$$\mathbf{s}_4^+(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(|G| + \eta(G)) & \text{if } \eta(G) \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{3}|G| & \text{if } \eta(G) = 0 \text{ and } 3 \text{ divides } |G|, \\ \frac{1}{3}(|G| - 1) & \text{if } \eta(G) = 0 \text{ and } 3 \text{ does not divide } |G|. \end{cases}$$

It is an interesting open problem to determine $\mathbf{t}_4^+(G)$ for groups G , not covered by Theorem 2.7 (i) and not of exponent 2. The first case one may wish to consider is $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_5^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, we have made some progress towards proving that $\mathbf{t}_4^+(\mathbb{Z}_5^r) =$

$(3 \cdot 5^{r-1} - 1)/2$ for $r \geq 2$. It is our intention to give a comprehensive treatment in a separate paper.

2.2.2. General Estimates for $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ and $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$. Suppose that $\rho \geq 5$. We expect that finding general explicit formulae for $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ and $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$ for finite abelian groups G with $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 5$ is very difficult, if at all feasible. Indeed, it is likely that for increasing values of ρ , the dependence of $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ and $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$ on the algebraic structure of G becomes overly complicated. Nevertheless, some general estimates in terms of ρ and $|G|$ can be given; to some extent, these estimates compensate for the lack of explicit formulae as those we were able to provide for $\rho \leq 4$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let G be a finite abelian group, and suppose that $\rho \geq 2$. Then*

$$\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{|G| - 2}{\rho - 1} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

The next theorem determines the maximum possible cardinality of a generating set A with $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$ and describes the structure of all sets A of this cardinality.

Theorem 2.9. *Let G be a finite abelian group, and suppose that $\rho \geq 4$. Then $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) \leq \frac{2}{\rho+1} |G|$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if G has a subgroup H such that G/H is cyclic of order $\rho + 1$; indeed, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) A is a generating set for G satisfying $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$ and $|A| = \frac{2}{\rho+1} |G|$;
- (ii) $A = H \cup (g + H)$, where H is a subgroup of G such that G/H is cyclic of order $\rho + 1$, and g is an element of G such that $g + H$ is a generator of G/H .

Our final result goes somewhat beyond Theorem 2.9, establishing the structure of those generating sets A with $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$ and $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \rho^{-1} |G|$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let G be a finite abelian group, and suppose that $\rho \geq 4$. If A is a generating set for G such that $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$ and $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \rho^{-1} |G|$, then there exist $H \leq G$ and $g \in G$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $A \subseteq H \cup (g + H)$, and $|A| > (2 - \frac{\rho-3}{2\rho}) |H|$;
- (ii) G/H is cyclic, its order satisfies $\rho + 1 \leq |G/H| < \frac{4}{3} \rho$, and $g + H$ generates G/H .

2.3. Organization and List of Notation. An important tool used in our arguments is Kneser's Theorem, stated in Section 3. The proofs of our results, presented above, are collected in Sections 4 to 7. In the Appendix we discuss an open problem, related to the quantity $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$.

For convenience we include a list of standard notation, used throughout the paper.

$\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N}_0$, and $\mathbb{Z}$ the sets of positive, non-negative, and all integers, respectively.

For natural numbers $m, r, n_1, \dots, n_r$:

$\mathbb{Z}_m$ the group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ of residues modulo m ;
 $\gcd(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ the greatest common divisor of $n_1, \dots, n_r$.

For real numbers x, y :

$\lfloor x \rfloor$ the greatest integer not exceeding x ;
 $[x, y]$ the set of all integers n satisfying $x \leq n \leq y$.

For a group G , an element $g \in G$, and a subset $A \subseteq G$:

$\text{rk}(G)$ the rank (i.e. the minimal number of generators) of G ;
 $\text{ord}(g)$ the order of g ;
 $\langle A \rangle$ the subgroup of G , generated by A ;
 $\pi(A)$ the period $\{g \in G : A + g = A\}$ of A in G .

For a non-negative integer r and subsets $A, A_1, \dots, A_r$ of an abelian group G :

$A_1 + \dots + A_r$ the set $\{a_1 + \dots + a_r : a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_r \in A_r\}$;
 rA the set $A + \dots + A$ (r summands);
 $r * A$ the set $\{ra : a \in A\}$.

Also, for integers m and n we write $m \mid n$ to indicate that m divides n .

3. KNESER'S THEOREM

We recall here a fundamental result due to Kneser, used in the proofs of Proposition 2.8 and Theorems 2.9 and 2.10.

Theorem 3.1 (Kneser [8, 9]; see also [11]). *Let A and B be finite, non-empty subsets of an abelian group G such that*

$$|A + B| \leq |A| + |B| - 1.$$

Then, letting $H := \pi(A + B)$, we have

$$|A + B| = |A + H| + |B + H| - |H|.$$

Since, in the above notation, we have $|A + H| \geq |A|$ and $|B + H| \geq |B|$, Kneser's Theorem shows that $|A + B| \geq |A| + |B| - |H|$. A straightforward induction yields

Corollary 3.2. *Let $A_1, \dots, A_r$ be finite, non-empty subsets of an abelian group G , and write $H := \pi(A_1 + \dots + A_r)$. Then*

$$|A_1 + \dots + A_r| \geq |A_1| + \dots + |A_r| - (r - 1)|H|.$$

In particular, if $A_1 + \cdots + A_r$ is aperiodic, then

$$|A_1 + \cdots + A_r| \geq |A_1| + \cdots + |A_r| - (r - 1).$$

4. THE ABSOLUTE DIAMETER: PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2.1 AND 2.2

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_r}$ where $1 \neq m_1 \mid \cdots \mid m_r$. If A is a standard generating set for G , it is easily seen that $\text{diam}_A^+(G) = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1)$. It remains to show that $\text{diam}^+(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1)$.

We argue by induction on $|G|$. For $|G| = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Next, consider the special case where G is of prime exponent. Then every generating set for G necessarily contains a standard generating set, namely a basis for G regarded as a vector space, and the claim follows.

Now return to the general case $|G| > 1$. Let A be a generating set for G , and choose a prime p dividing m_1 . Clearly, $p * A = \{pa : a \in A\}$ is a generating set for the subgroup $p * G = \{pg : g \in G\}$ of G . Write $\overline{G} := G/(p * G)$, so that $\overline{G}$ is elementary abelian of exponent p and rank r , and let $\overline{A}$ be the image of A under the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow \overline{G}$. Then the special case, considered above, and induction applied to the group $p * G$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}_A^+(G) &\leq \text{diam}_{\overline{A}}^+(\overline{G}) + p \cdot \text{diam}_{p * A}^+(p * G) \\ &\leq r(p - 1) + p \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i/p - 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_r}$ where $1 \neq m_1 \mid \cdots \mid m_r$, and let $A \subseteq G$. Without loss of generality we assume that $0 \in A$, that is $A = A_0$.

First we verify the last assertion of the theorem; in view of Theorem 2.1, this also yields the implication from (ii) to (i). Suppose that $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ is a standard generating set for G with the property that $A = \{0, a_1, \dots, a_r\}$, where for each $i \in [1, r]$, either $a_i = e_i$, or $a_i = e_i + a_{\sigma(i)}$ with $\sigma(i) \in [i + 1, r]$. We show that for every $g \in G$ there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $g = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i a_i$, $l_A^+(g) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$, and $\lambda_i \leq m_i - 1$ for all $i \in [1, r]$. As $|G| = \prod_{i=1}^r m_i$, this also implies the uniqueness. Fix $g \in G$, and choose $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $g = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i a_i$ and $l_A^+(g) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i$. If the set $J := \{j \in [1, r] : \mu_j \geq m_j\}$ is empty, there is nothing further to prove. Assume that

$J \neq \emptyset$ and let $j := \min J$. As $\mu_j e_j = (\mu_j - m_j) e_j$ and $l_A^+(g) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i$, we must have $a_j = e_j + a_{\sigma(j)}$ with $\sigma(j) \in [j+1, r]$. But then

$$\mu_j a_j = (\mu_j - m_j) a_j + m_j a_j = (\mu_j - m_j) a_j + m_j a_{\sigma(j)},$$

and replacing μ_i by

$$\mu'_i := \begin{cases} \mu_i & \text{if } i \notin \{j, \sigma(j)\}, \\ \mu_j - m_j & \text{if } i = j, \\ \mu_{\sigma(j)} + m_j & \text{if } i = \sigma(j), \end{cases}$$

we arrive at $g = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu'_i a_i$ with $l_A^+(g) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu'_i$ and $\mu'_i \geq 0$ for $i \in [1, r]$. Moreover, $\mu'_i = \mu_i$ for $i \in [1, j-1]$ and $\mu'_j < \mu_j$. Iteration of this process finitely many times yields $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $g = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i a_i$, $l_A^+(g) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$, and $\lambda_i \leq m_i - 1$ for all $i \in [1, r]$, as wanted.

We now assume (i), i.e. $\text{diam}_A^+(G) = \text{diam}^+(G)$, and derive (ii), using induction on $|G|$. Clearly, there is nothing to prove if $|G| = 1$, and we assume that $|G| > 1$.

Our first claim is that A contains an element of order $m_r = \exp(G)$. If m_r is prime, then *all* non-zero elements of G are of order m_r . Suppose now that m_r is composite. Choose a prime p dividing m_1 , and note that $m_r/p > 1$. Write $\overline{G} := G/(p * G)$ and let $\overline{A}$ be the image of A under the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow \overline{G}$. Now revisit the proof of Theorem 2.1: our assumption $\text{diam}_A^+(G) = \text{diam}^+(G)$ implies that

$$\text{diam}_{p * A}^+(p * G) = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i/p - 1) = \text{diam}^+(p * G).$$

Choose $s \in [1, r]$ so that $m_1 = \dots = m_{s-1} = p < m_s$. Then the group $p * G$ is of type $(m_s/p, \dots, m_r/p)$ and using the induction hypothesis, applied to this group and the generating set $p * A$, we write $p * A = \{0, b_s, \dots, b_r\}$ so that $\text{ord}(b_r) = m_r/p$ and every element of $p * G$ has a (unique) representation as $\sum_{i=s}^r \lambda_i b_i$, where the coefficients satisfy $0 \leq \lambda_i < m_i/p$ for all $i \in [s, r]$. We choose $a_r \in A$ so that $b_r = p a_r$ and show that $\text{ord}(a_r) = m_r$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\text{ord}(a_r) < m_r$. Then $\text{ord}(a_r)$ is a proper divisor of m_r while, at the same time, $\text{ord}(a_r)$ is divisible by $\text{ord}(p a_r) = m_r/p$. It follows that $\text{ord}(a_r) = m_r/p$ and $\langle a_r \rangle = \langle p a_r \rangle$; therefore every element of $p * G$ has a (unique) representation as $\sum_{i=s}^{r-1} \lambda_i b_i + \lambda_r a_r$ with $0 \leq \lambda_i < m_i/p$ for all $i \in [s, r]$.

Consequently, conferring to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{diam}_A^+(G) &\leq \text{diam}_A^+(\overline{G}) + p \sum_{i=s}^{r-1} (m_i/p - 1) + (m_r/p - 1) \\
&= r(p - 1) + p \sum_{i=s}^r (m_i/p - 1) - (p - 1)(m_r/p - 1) \\
&< \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1) \\
&= \text{diam}^+(G),
\end{aligned}$$

in contradiction to (i). Thus our claim is proved: A contains an element of order m_r .

The next step shows among other things that $|A| = r + 1$. Choose $a \in A$ with $\text{ord}(a) = m_r$. Recalling that in a finite abelian group every cyclic subgroup of maximal possible order admits a complement, we find a subgroup $H \leq G$ such that $G = H \oplus \langle a \rangle$, and consequently $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_{r-1}}$. Let $\overline{A}$ denote the image of A under the projection of G onto H along $\langle a \rangle$. From

$$\text{diam}_A^+(H) + (m_r - 1) \geq \text{diam}_A^+(G) = \text{diam}^+(G) = \text{diam}^+(H) + (m_r - 1)$$

it follows that $\text{diam}_A^+(H) = \text{diam}^+(H)$. Applying the induction hypothesis to the group H , we find a standard generating set $\{h_1, \dots, h_{r-1}\}$ for H so that, writing $\overline{A} = \{0, \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{r-1}\}$, for each $i \in [1, r - 1]$ we either have $\bar{a}_i = h_i$, or $\bar{a}_i = h_i + \bar{a}_{\sigma(i)}$ with $\sigma(i) \in [i + 1, r]$. For every $i \in [1, r - 1]$ fix arbitrarily $a_i \in A$ with $a_i \in \bar{a}_i + \langle a \rangle$, and let $a_r := a$.

Since every element of H can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \lambda_i \bar{a}_i$ with $\lambda_i \in [0, m_i - 1]$ for all $i \in [1, r - 1]$, every element of G has a representation as $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i a_i$ with $\lambda_i \in [0, m_i - 1]$ for all $i \in [1, r]$. This shows that any $g \in G$ with $g \neq \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1)a_i$ satisfies $l_A^+(g) < \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1) = \text{diam}^+(G)$, and hence $l_A^+(\sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1)a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - 1)$ by (i). Consequently, for any choice of $\lambda_i \in [0, m_i - 1]$, $i \in [1, r]$, we have

$$l_A^+\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i a_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i. \quad (4.1)$$

In particular, it follows that A contains no elements, other than 0 and $a_1, \dots, a_r$.

Finally, we are ready to complete the proof of (ii). Since $a_i \in h_i + \langle a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r \rangle$ for all $i \in [1, r - 1]$, the set $\tilde{A} := \{a_2, \dots, a_r\}$ generates a proper subgroup $K \subsetneq G$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_r}$, which is complemented by $\langle h_1 \rangle$ in G . By (4.1) and Theorem 2.1 we have $\text{diam}_A^+(K) = \text{diam}^+(K)$. Therefore, applying the induction hypothesis to the group K and the generating set $\tilde{A}$, we find a standard generating set $\{e_2, \dots, e_r\}$ for

K such that, renumbering the elements of $\tilde{A}$, if necessary, for each $i \in [2, r]$ we have either $a_i = e_i$, or $a_i = e_i + a_{\sigma(i)}$ with $\sigma(i) \in [i+1, r]$.

We consider two cases. If $\text{ord}(a_1) = m_1$, we put $e_1 := a_1$; it is then immediate that $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ is a standard generating set for G , satisfying our requirements.

Now assume that $\text{ord}(a_1) > m_1$, and write $a_1 = \mu_1 h_1 + \sum_{i=2}^r \mu_i e_i$ with $\mu_i \in [0, m_i - 1]$ for all $i \in [1, r]$. As $G/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}$, we have $m_1 a_1 \in K$, and, applying induction to the group K , we obtain two expressions for $m_1 a_1$:

$$m_1 a_1 = \sum_{i=2}^r m_1 \mu_i e_i \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 a_1 = \sum_{i=2}^r \lambda_i a_i, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\lambda_i \in [0, m_i - 1]$ for all $i \in [2, r]$ and $\sum_{i=2}^r \lambda_i = l_A^+(m_1 a_1)$.

For each $i \in [2, r+1]$ let $K_i := \langle e_i, \dots, e_r \rangle = \langle a_i, \dots, a_r \rangle$, and notice that this provides a descending chain of subgroups with $K_2 = K$. Since $m_1 a_1 \in K \setminus \{0\}$, we find $j \in [2, r]$ such that $m_1 a_1 \in K_j \setminus K_{j+1}$. From $m_1 a_1 \in K_j$ and (4.2) we derive that

$$m_1 \mu_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in [2, j-1]. \quad (4.3)$$

As $m_1 a_1 \notin K_{j+1}$, this further implies

$$m_1 \mu_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m_j}. \quad (4.4)$$

Comparing (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain $m_1 \mu_j e_j - \lambda_j a_j \in K_{j+1}$, whence $(m_1 \mu_j - \lambda_j) e_j \in K_{j+1}$. This shows that $m_1 \mu_j \equiv \lambda_j \pmod{m_j}$, and therefore $\lambda_j \in [0, m_j - 1]$ is the least non-negative residue of $m_1 \mu_j$ modulo m_j . In view of $m_1 \mid m_j$ and (4.4) we conclude that $\lambda_j \geq m_1$. On the other hand, it is not difficult to derive from (4.1) that the length function l_A^+ restricted to K agrees with l_A^+ , and hence

$$m_1 \leq \lambda_j \leq \sum_{i=j}^r \lambda_i = l_A^+(m_1 a_1) = l_A^+(m_1 a_1) \leq m_1.$$

This implies $\lambda_j = m_1$ and $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i \in [j+1, r]$; consequently we have $m_1 a_1 = m_1 a_j$. Putting $e_1 := a_1 - a_j$, we now obtain a standard generating set $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ for G which, clearly, satisfies the requirements. $\square$

5. PROOFS OF LEMMA 2.3, THEOREMS 2.4 AND 2.5, AND PROPOSITION 2.8

Proof of Lemma 2.3. We have to show that

$$\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) = \max\{|H| \cdot \mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G/H) : H \not\leq G\}.$$

If $\rho > \text{diam}^+(G)$, then $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) = 0$ and $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G/H) = 0$ for all $H \not\leq G$; assume now that $\rho \in [2, \text{diam}^+(G)]$.

Suppose that A is a ρ -maximal generating set for G , and write $H := \pi(A) \not\leq G$. Then the image $\overline{A}$ of A under the canonical homomorphism $G \rightarrow G/H$ is ρ -maximal in G/H , non-zero, and aperiodic. We get $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G/H) \geq |\overline{A}| = |A|/|H|$, and if A is chosen so that $|A| = \mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$, this yields

$$\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) \leq |H| \cdot \mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G/H).$$

Conversely, let $H \not\leq G$ and suppose that $\overline{A}$ is an aperiodic ρ -maximal generating set for G/H . Let A denote the full pre-image of $\overline{A}$ in G under the canonical homomorphism $G \rightarrow G/H$. Then A is a generating set for G with $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$. If $\overline{A}$ is chosen so that $|\overline{A}| = \mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G/H)$, we get

$$\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) \geq |A| = |H| \cdot |\overline{A}| = |H| \cdot \mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G/H).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.4. (i) If $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 1$, then G is not trivial and the only 1-maximal subset of G is G itself; so $\mathbf{t}_1^+(G) = 0$ and $\mathbf{s}_1^+(G) = |G|$.

(ii) Suppose that $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 2$, or equivalently $|G| > 2$. Fix a non-zero element $g \in G$ and set $A := G \setminus \{g\}$. Then A is aperiodic, generating, and $\langle A \rangle_1^+ = A_0 = A \neq G$. Thus $\mathbf{t}_2^+(G) = \mathbf{s}_2^+(G) = |G| - 1$.

(iii) Suppose that $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 3$, which by Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $|G| \geq 5$. If $A \subseteq G$ satisfies $|A| > |G|/2$, then $\langle A \rangle_2^+ \supseteq 2A = G$ by the box principle. Thus $\mathbf{s}_3^+(G) \leq \lfloor |G|/2 \rfloor$, and to complete the proof it suffices to construct an aperiodic generating subset $A \subseteq G$ of cardinality $|A| = \lfloor |G|/2 \rfloor$ such that $\langle A \rangle_2^+ \neq G$.

Assume first that G has even order. Fix a subgroup $H \leq G$ with $|G/H| = 2$ and choose an element $g \in G \setminus H$. Set $A := \{0\} \cup (g + H) \setminus \{g\}$. Clearly, we have $\langle A \rangle = G$ and $|A| = |G|/2$. Moreover it is easily seen that $\langle A \rangle_2^+ = 2A = G \setminus \{g\}$. In particular, $2A$ is aperiodic, and so is A .

Now assume that G has odd order. Fix $g \in G \setminus \{0\}$, and let $h \in G$ be the (unique) element satisfying $2h = g$. The set $G \setminus \{h\}$ can be (uniquely) partitioned into $(|G| - 1)/2$ pairs so that the two elements of each pair add up to g . Form a subset $A \subseteq G$ by selecting one element out of each pair, subject to the requirement that 0 is selected (and therefore g is not). The construction ensures that $|A| = (|G| - 1)/2$ and $g \notin 2A = \langle A \rangle_2^+$. Note that $\langle A \rangle = G$, because proper subgroups of G have cardinality at most $|G|/3 < |A|$. Furthermore A is aperiodic in view of $\gcd(|A|, |G|) = 1$.

(iv) Suppose that $\rho = \text{diam}^+(G) \geq 2$ and write $r := \text{rk}(G)$. Theorem 2.2 shows that $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) = r + 1$ and, moreover, that, if A is a standard generating set, then A_0 is ρ -maximal. It remains to observe that the set A_0 is aperiodic, as one can easily verify taking into account that $|G| > 2$. $\square$

The following lemma prepares the proof of Proposition 2.8 which, in turn, is used to prove Theorem 2.5.

Lemma 5.1. *Let A be a ρ -maximal subset of a finite abelian group G , where $\rho \in [2, \text{diam}^+(G)]$. Then $\pi(A) = \pi(\langle A \rangle_\tau^+)$ for all $\tau \in [1, \rho - 1]$.*

Proof. Write $H := \pi(\langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+)$. Since A is ρ -maximal, we have $A_0 = A$; consequently, $\langle A \rangle_\tau^+ = \tau A$ holds for every $\tau \in [1, \rho - 1]$, and hence $\pi(A) \leq \pi(\langle A \rangle_2^+) \leq \dots \leq \pi(\langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+) = H$. On the other hand, since

$$\langle A + H \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ = \langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ + H = \langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ \subsetneq G,$$

by maximality of A we have $A + H = A$, whence $H \leq \pi(A)$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.8. Let A be an aperiodic ρ -maximal subset of G . By Lemma 5.1 we have $\pi(\langle A \rangle_\tau^+) = \{0\}$ for all $\tau \in [1, \rho - 1]$. Now Kneser's Theorem shows that

$$|G| - 1 \geq |\langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+| = |(\rho - 1)A_0| \geq (\rho - 1)|A| - (\rho - 2),$$

and hence $|A| \leq (|G| - 2)/(\rho - 1) + 1$. The assertion follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. It suffices to show that

$$\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(\mathbb{Z}_m) = \left\lfloor \frac{m - 2}{\rho - 1} \right\rfloor + 1$$

for any given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho \in [2, m - 1]$; the formula for $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ then follows from Lemma 2.3.

Set $k := \lfloor (m - 2)/(\rho - 1) \rfloor$, so that $k \geq 1$ in view of $\rho \leq m - 1$. By Proposition 2.8, we have $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(\mathbb{Z}_m) \leq k + 1$. Therefore, it suffices to exhibit a ρ -maximal aperiodic subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ of cardinality $|A| \geq k + 1$. Put $B := \{0, 1, \dots, k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$, and let A be a ρ -maximal subset of $\mathbb{Z}_m$, containing B . It is enough to show that A is aperiodic; in fact this will imply that $A = B$.

Writing $H := \pi(A)$, we obtain

$$\langle B \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ + H \subseteq \langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ + H = \langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}_m.$$

Now $\langle B \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ = \{0, 1, \dots, (\rho - 1)k\}$ and in particular

$$|\langle B \rangle_{\rho-1}^+| = (\rho - 1)k + 1 > (\rho - 1) \frac{(m - 2)}{2(\rho - 1)} + 1 = m/2.$$

Thus $\langle B \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ + H \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}_m$ implies that $H = \{0\}$, as claimed. $\square$

6. 4-MAXIMAL SETS: PROOF OF THEOREM 2.7

We begin with an easy consequence of Proposition 2.8.

Lemma 6.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \mid \exp(G)$ and $n \leq |G|/3$, then $\mathbf{t}_{n+1}^+(G) = |G|/n$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.8 it suffices to construct an aperiodic $(n+1)$ -maximal generating set A for G of cardinality $|A| = |G|/n$.

Clearly, there is a surjective homomorphism from G onto the group $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Let H be the kernel of this homomorphism, so that H is a proper subgroup of G with $|H| \geq 3$. Choose $g \in G$ such that $g + H$ generates G/H , and put $A := \{0\} \cup (g + H) \setminus \{g\}$. Evidently, we have $|A| = |H| = |G|/n$, and $|H| \geq 3$ implies that $\langle A \rangle = G$. Furthermore, $\langle A \rangle_n^+ = G \setminus \{g\}$ and A is maximal with this property. Finally, Lemma 5.1 shows that A is aperiodic. $\square$

Now let G be a finite abelian group with $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 4$. Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 2.3 show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_4^+(G) &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{|G|+1}{3} \right\rfloor, \\ \mathbf{s}_4^+(G) &\leq \max\{(|G|/d) \cdot \lfloor (d+1)/3 \rfloor : d \text{ divides } |G|\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Our guiding idea is that in many situations these inequalities are sharp.

Proof of Theorem 2.7. (i) If 3 divides $|G|$, then Lemma 6.1 implies that $\mathbf{t}_4^+(G) = |G|/3$, unless $|G| = 6$ in which case G is cyclic and $\mathbf{t}_4^+(G) = 2$ by Theorem 2.5. Assume now that every divisor of $|G|$ is congruent to 1 modulo 3.

We argue by induction on $\text{rk}(G)$. If $\text{rk}(G) = 1$, then G is cyclic and Theorem 2.5 yields $\mathbf{t}_4^+(G) = (|G| - 1)/3$. Now suppose that $\text{rk}(G) \geq 2$. We write $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$, where G_1 is cyclic of order $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $\text{rk}(G_2) = \text{rk}(G) - 1$. To simplify the notation we assume that $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}_m$. Then $A_1 := \{0, 1, \dots, (m-4)/3\}$ is an aperiodic 4-maximal subset of G_1 with $|A_1| = (|G_1| - 1)/3$. By induction we find an aperiodic 4-maximal subset $A_2 \subseteq G_2$ with $|A_2| = (|G_2| - 1)/3$. We define

$$A := (A_1 + G_2) \cup (\{(m-1)/3\} + A_2) \subseteq G.$$

Clearly, we have $|A| = |A_1||G_2| + |A_2| = (|G| - 1)/3$ and hence A is aperiodic. Moreover, it is easily seen that $G \setminus \langle A \rangle_3^+ = \{m-1\} + (G_2 \setminus \langle A_2 \rangle_3^+)$ and A is 4-maximal. Thus $\mathbf{t}_4^+(G) \geq |A| = (|G| - 1)/3$; the reverse inequality holds by (6.1).

(ii) Recall that by the assumption G is not of exponent 2, and that

$$\eta(G) := \max\{|H| : H \leq G \text{ with } \exp(G/H) \neq 2 \text{ and } |G/H| \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}.$$

Proposition 2.8 and the results (2.1) of Davydov-Tombak show that for every $H \leq G$ we have

$$|H| \cdot \mathbf{t}_4^+(G/H) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}|G| & \text{if } |G/H| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3}(|G| - |H|) & \text{if } |G/H| \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3}(|G| + |H|) & \text{if } |G/H| \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } \exp(G/H) \neq 2, \\ \frac{1}{4}(|G| + 4|H|) & \text{if } |G/H| \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \exp(G/H) = 2, \\ & \text{and } \text{rk}(G/H) \geq 4, \\ 0 & \text{if } G/H \text{ is isomorphic to a subgroup of } \mathbb{Z}_2^3. \end{cases}$$

Observe that in the fourth case, i.e. when $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ with $r \geq 4$, we have $(|G| + 4|H|)/4 \leq (|G| - |H|)/3$. Thus Lemma 2.3 yields

$$\mathbf{s}_4^+(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(|G| + \eta(G)) & \text{if } \eta(G) \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{3}|G| & \text{if } \eta(G) = 0 \text{ and } 3 \text{ divides } |G|, \\ \frac{1}{3}(|G| - 1) & \text{if } \eta(G) = 0 \text{ and } 3 \text{ does not divide } |G|, \end{cases}$$

and it remains to establish the reverse inequalities.

Assuming first that $\eta(G) \neq 0$, we find $H \leq G$ such that $\exp(G/H) \neq 2$, $|G/H| \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, and $|H| = \eta(G)$. Considering the prime factorization of $|G/H|$, we see that there are three possibilities:

- (a) $|G/H| = p$, where $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is an odd prime, and then $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$;
- (b) $|G/H| = 2q$, where $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ is a prime, and then $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2q}$;
- (c) $|G/H| = 8$, and then $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$.

In cases (a) and (b) the group G/H is cyclic of order $|G|/\eta(G)$, so Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.5 show that

$$\mathbf{s}_4^+(G) \geq |H| \cdot \mathbf{t}_4^+(G/H) = \eta(G) \cdot (|G|/\eta(G) + 1)/3 = \frac{1}{3}(|G| + \eta(G)).$$

In case (c) the claim follows similarly, noting that $\mathbf{t}_4^+(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4) = \mathbf{t}_4^+(\mathbb{Z}_8) = 3$, by Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 respectively.

Next, if $\eta(G) = 0$ and 3 divides $|G|$, then by (i) we have $\mathbf{s}_4^+(G) \geq \mathbf{t}_4^+(G) = |G|/3$.

Finally, suppose that $\eta(G) = 0$ and that 3 does not divide $|G|$. Then, clearly, $|G|$ has no odd prime divisors congruent to 2 modulo 3, and $|G|$ is not a power of 2. (If G were a 2-group, then, because $\text{diam}^+(G) \geq 4$ and G is not of exponent 2, we could map G onto $\mathbb{Z}_8$ or $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ in contradiction to $\eta(G) = 0$.) Consequently, $|G|$ has at least one prime divisor congruent to 1 modulo 3, and so 2 does not divide $|G|$ at all. Thus

every (prime) divisor of $|G|$ is congruent to 1 modulo 3, and the claim follows again from (i). $\square$

7. GENERAL ESTIMATES FOR $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ AND $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G)$:
PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2.9 AND 2.10

Proof of Theorem 2.9. It suffices to show that $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) \leq \frac{2}{\rho+1} |G|$ and that (i) implies (ii). Indeed, (ii) trivially implies (i), and it is easily seen that, if (i) and (ii) are equivalent, then equality holds in $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) \leq \frac{2}{\rho+1} |G|$ if and only if G has a subgroup H such that G/H is cyclic of order $\rho + 1$.

Let A be a generating set for G with $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$. We write $H := \pi(\langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+)$ and note that $|G| \geq |\langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+| + |H|$. Since

$$\langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ = (\rho - 1)A_0 + H = (\rho - 1)(A_0 + H),$$

Kneser's Theorem yields

$$|\langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+| \geq (\rho - 1)|A_0 + H| - (\rho - 2)|H|.$$

Combining these observations, we obtain

$$|G| \geq (\rho - 1)|A_0 + H| - (\rho - 3)|H|. \quad (7.1)$$

Since $0 \in A_0 \not\subseteq H$, the set $A_0 + H$ is the union of at least two H -cosets, and so $|A_0 + H| \geq 2|H|$. From (7.1) we obtain

$$|G| \geq \left(\rho - 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 3) \right) |A_0 + H| \geq \frac{\rho + 1}{2} |A|. \quad (7.2)$$

This gives the upper bound $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) \leq \frac{2}{\rho+1} |G|$; it remains to show that (i) implies (ii).

To this end, in addition to our earlier assumption that A is a generating set for G with $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$, we assume that $|A| = \frac{2}{\rho+1} |G|$. Then (7.2) yields $A = A_0 + H$, and this set is the union of exactly two H -cosets. Consequently, $A = H \cup (g + H)$ with some $g \in G \setminus H$. Since A generates G , the quotient group G/H is cyclic and generated by $g + H$. Since

$$\bigcup \{kg + H : 0 \leq k \leq \rho - 1\} = \langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+ \subsetneq G,$$

the order of G/H is at least $\rho + 1$. Finally, in view of $|A| = \frac{2}{\rho+1} |G|$, the estimate

$$|A| = 2|H| = 2 \frac{|G|}{|G/H|} \leq 2 \frac{|G|}{\rho + 1} = |A|$$

yields $|G/H| = \rho + 1$, as desired. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.10. Let $A \subseteq G$ be a generating set such that $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$ and $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \rho^{-1} |G|$. We set $H := \pi(\langle A \rangle_{\rho-1}^+)$ and show that there exists $g \in G$ such that

- (i) $A \subseteq H \cup (g + H)$, and $|A| > \left(3 - \frac{\rho-3}{3\rho-1}\right)|H|$;
- (ii) G/H is cyclic of order $\rho + 1 \leq |G/H| \leq \frac{4}{3}\rho$, and $g + H$ generates G/H .

As in the proof of Theorem 2.9, we see that $A_0 + H$ consists of two H -cosets: the possibility $|A_0 + H| \geq 3|H|$ is ruled out, since otherwise

$$|G| \geq \left(\rho - 1 - \frac{1}{3}(\rho - 3)\right)|A_0 + H| \geq \frac{2}{3}\rho|A|,$$

contrary to the assumptions. Exactly as before, the quotient group G/H is cyclic of order at least $\rho + 1$, and there exists $g \in G$ such that $g + H$ is a generator of G/H and $A_0 + H = H \cup (g + H)$.

Finally, from

$$2|H| = |A_0 + H| \geq |A| > \frac{3}{2}\rho^{-1}|H||G/H|$$

it follows that $|G/H| < \frac{4}{3}\rho$ and

$$|A| > \frac{3(\rho+1)}{2\rho}|H| = \left(2 - \frac{\rho-3}{2\rho}\right)|H|,$$

as required. $\square$

In fact one can go further and, given $\varepsilon > 0$, describe in a similar way the structure of those generating sets A for G which satisfy $\text{diam}_A^+(G) \geq \rho$ and $|A| > (1 + \varepsilon)|G|/(\rho - 1)$. Indeed, in this case $A_0 + H$ consists of $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$ H -cosets. However, for small ε the description is likely to become quite complicated.

APPENDIX A. AN EXAMPLE: $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ MAY VANISH

As can be seen directly from the definition, for every abelian group G and every $\rho \in [1, \text{diam}^+(G)]$ we have $\mathbf{s}_\rho^+(G) > 0$. Theorem 2.4, on the other hand, contains the observation that $\mathbf{t}_1^+(G) = 0$ for every finite abelian group G . In this appendix we construct examples, illustrating that $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G)$ may vanish for large values of ρ for less trivial reasons.

Example. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 3$, let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$. Then $\text{diam}^+(G) = 2^n$, and every $(2^n - 2)$ -maximal subset of G is periodic.

Explanation. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ with $n \geq 3$. Theorem 2.1 shows that $\text{diam}^+(G) = 2^n$. Let $A \subseteq G$ be maximal subject to $\langle A \rangle_{2^n-2}^+ \neq \langle A \rangle = G$; we have to show that A is periodic.

By maximality, we have $A = A_0$. Since A generates G , there exists an element $a_1 \in A$ of order $\text{ord}(a_1) = 2^n$, and furthermore there exists an element $a_2 \in A$ such that

$a_2 \notin \langle a_1 \rangle$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_1 = (0, 1)$ and $a_2 = (1, \alpha)$ with $0 \leq \alpha < 2^n$.

Notice that, whenever $(1, \beta) \in A$ (this holds, for instance, for $\beta = \alpha$), then

$$G \setminus \langle A \rangle_{2^n-2}^+ \subseteq \{(0, 2^n - 1), (1, \beta + 2^n - 2), (1, \beta + 2^n - 1)\}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and because $\langle A \rangle_{2^n-2}^+ \neq G$, at least one of $(0, 2^n - 1)$, $(1, \beta + 2^n - 2)$, $(1, \beta + 2^n - 1)$ has length greater than $2^n - 2$ with respect to A .

Assertion. If $b = (1, \beta) \in A$, then $b \in \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2^{n-1}), (1, 2^{n-1} + 1)\}$.

For a contradiction, suppose that $b = (1, \beta) \in A \setminus \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2^{n-1}), (1, 2^{n-1} + 1)\}$. Then we have $2b = (0, 2\beta) \notin \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (0, 2^n - 1)\}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 2^n - 1) - 2b &= (0, 2^n - 1 - 2\beta) \in \langle a_1 \rangle_{2^n-5}^+, & \text{so } l_A(0, 2^n - 1) &\leq 2^n - 3; \\ (1, \beta + 2^n - 2) - 3b &= (0, 2^n - 2 - 2\beta) \in \langle a_1 \rangle_{2^n-6}^+, & \text{so } l_A(1, \beta + 2^n - 2) &\leq 2^n - 3; \\ (1, \beta + 2^n - 1) - 3b &= (0, 2^n - 1 - 2\beta) \in \langle a_1 \rangle_{2^n-5}^+, & \text{so } l_A(1, \beta + 2^n - 1) &\leq 2^n - 2. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the observation following (A.1).

Assertion. If $c = (0, \gamma) \in A$, then $c \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$.

Let $c = (0, \gamma) \in G \setminus \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$. Then it is easily seen that $\langle a_1, c \rangle_{2^n-3}^+ = \{(0, \delta) : \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}\}$, and hence $\langle a_1, a_2, c \rangle_{2^n-2}^+ = G$. It follows that $c \notin A$, as required.

The two assertions above yield

$$\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, \alpha)\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2^{n-1}), (1, 2^{n-1} + 1)\}.$$

Case 1: $(1, \alpha) = (1, 0)$. Because of (A.1) we certainly have $(1, 2^{n-1}), (1, 2^{n-1} + 1) \notin A$. On the other hand, $(0, 2^n - 1) \notin \langle (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1) \rangle_{2^n-2}^+$. So $A = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ has non-trivial period $\{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}$.

Case 2: $(1, \alpha) = (1, 1)$. Again (A.1) shows that $(1, 2^{n-1}), (1, 2^{n-1} + 1) \notin A$. So as in the first case $A = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ has non-trivial period $\{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}$.

Case 3: $(1, \alpha) \in \{(1, 2^{n-1}), (1, 2^{n-1} + 1)\}$. Write the elements of G with respect to the generating pair $((1, 2^{n-1}), (0, 1))$ rather than $((1, 0), (0, 1))$. In these new coordinates, a_1 is still represented by $(0, 1)$, but a_2 is represented by $(1, 0)$ or $(1, 1)$. We are reduced to either Case 1 or Case 2. $\square$

Perhaps it is feasible to classify all pairs (G, ρ) such that $\mathbf{t}_\rho^+(G) = 0$, but beyond the above example nothing is known.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. ANNEXSTEIN and M. BAUMSLAG, On the diameter and bisector size of Cayley graphs, *Math. Systems Theory* **26** (3) (1993), 271–291.

- [2] L. BABAI, G. HETYEI, W. M. KANTOR, A. LUBOTZKY, and Á SERESS, On the diameter of finite groups, *31st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, Vol. II (St. Louis, MO, 1990), 857–865, IEEE Comput. Soc. Press, Los Alamitos, CA, 1990.
- [3] G. COHEN, I. HONKALA, S. LITSYN, and A. LOBSTEIN, Covering Codes, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 54, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1997.
- [4] A. A. DAVYDOV and L. M. TOMBAK, Quasiperfect linear binary codes with distance 4 and complete caps in projective geometry (Russian), *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii* **25** (4) (1989), 11–23. (Translation in *Problems Inform. Transmission* **25** (4) (1989), 265–275.)
- [5] G. FAINA and F. PAMBIANCO, On the spectrum of the values k for which a complete k -cap in $PG(n, q)$ exists, *J. Geom.* **62** (1–2) (1998), 84–98.
- [6] C. GARCIA and C. PEYRAT, Large Cayley graphs on an abelian group, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **75** (2) (1997), 125–133.
- [7] B. KLOPSCH and V.F. LEV, How long does it take to generate a group?, *J. Algebra* **261** (1) (2003), 145–171.
- [8] M. KNESER, Abschätzung der asymptotischen Dichte von Summenmengen, *Math. Z.* **58** (1953), 459–484.
- [9] ———, Ein Satz über abelsche Gruppen mit Anwendungen auf die Geometrie der Zahlen, *Math. Z.* **61** (1955), 429–434.
- [10] V.F. LEV, Generating binary spaces, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **102** (1) (2003), 94–109.
- [11] H. B. MANN, Addition Theorems: The Addition Theorems of Group Theory and Number Theory, Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1965.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, HEINRICH-HEINE-UNIVERSITÄT, 40225 DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY
E-mail address: klopsch@math.uni-duesseldorf.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA AT ORANIM, TIVON 36006, ISRAEL
E-mail address: seva@math.haifa.ac.il